## Multimedia Appendix 1: Digital health technology and medication adherence Summary of included studies.

Author, year	Sample, intervention	Intervention	Medication adherence
(country)	length, age &		findings
	study purpose		
Aikens et al 2014	N=301 with diabetes; 3-	Weekly IVR calls	Significant pre-post
(USA) [40]	6 months; 66.7± 9.8;	assessing health status	improvement in
	Characterize changes in	and self-care and	medication adherence
	diabetes self-	providing tailored pre-	using MMAS 8-item with
	management and	recorded self-	significant improvement
	psychological distress	management support	in patients' IVR-reported
	associated with a mobile	messages; informal	frequency of weekly
	health interactive voice	caregiver and patient	medication adherence
	response (IVR) self-	clinician were notified	(MA).
	management response	when patients reported	
	program, Observational	significant problems	
Arora et al 2014	N=128 with diabetes; 6	TExT-MED group	Self-reported MA
(USA) [41]	months; 50.7 (SD 10.2);	received 2 daily text	measured using the
	Determine whether	messages in English or	MMAS 8-item and
	scalable, low cost,	Spanish	improved from 4.5 to
	unidirectional text		5.4 in TExT-MED group
	message-based mobile		compared with a net
	health intervention		decrease of -0.1 in the
	(TExT-MED) improves		controls.
	clinical outcomes,		
	increases health		
	behaviors, and		
	decreases Emergency		
	Department utilization		
	in a safety net		
	population, RCT		
Bobrow et al	N=1372 with high blood	SMS-Text Adherence	Self-reported MA and
2016 (South	pressure; 12 months;	Support (StAR); 3 arms –	refill data: overall
Africa) [42]	53.9 – 54.3 (SD 11.5);	information only,	number of participants
	Assessed effect of	interactive SMS text	who had at least 80% of
	automated treatment	messaging, or usual	proportion of days of
	adherence support	care.	medication covered for
	delivered via mobile		blood pressure-lowering
	phone short message		medication for the 12
	system (SMS) on blood		month period
	pressure, RCT		62.8% - information only
			group

			59.7% interactive group
			49.4% usual care group
Davidson et al	N=38; 3 month; 47.5 SD	SMASH program	MA defined by the
2015 (USA) [43]	11.8; Evaluate a	patient-	percent of SMS
	smartphone MA Stops Hypertension (SMASH)	centered, theory-	reminders over the past day(s). MA was 92 ± 0.09
	program.	avidad itarativa dasian	for all participants in the
		guided, iterative design process;	SMASH group and .98 ±
	Hypothesized that	process;	0.03 for African
	participants in the SMASH condition would	electronic medication	American, and 0.86 ± 0.1
	demonstrate	trays	for Hispanics (0-1, 1 =
	significantly greater	provided reminder	fully compliant).
	increased MA and	signals	
	decreased BP compared	and CNAC name in deed	
	to participants in the	and SMS reminded subjects	
	treatment-as-usual	Subjects	
	condition, RCT	to monitor BP with	
		Bluetooth-	
		Enabled monitors.	
		Motivational and	
		reinforcement text	
		messages sent to	
		participants based on	
		levels of adherence.	
Edelman et al	N=377; 24 months; 58.7	Tailored Case	MA obtained by self-
2015 (USA) [44]	(SD 10.8); Evaluate a	Management for	report and dichotomized
	behavioral intervention	Diabetes and	into perfect verses
	among community	Hypertension (TEACH-	imperfect. For the
	patients with poorly controlled diabetes and	DM). All patients received call from nurse.	diabetes intervention, non-adherent
	comorbid hypertension,	Intervention group	(medication-taking
	RCT	received tailored text	scale) were 26.9% and
		diabetes and HTN	control 31.5%. For the
		focused content; Control	hypertension
		group received non-	intervention, non-non-
		tailored non-interactive	adherent (medication-
		information.	taking scale) were 43.0%
			and control 42.9%.

Votalonish at al	N OO, C magnith at EQ	Diahataa Damata	NAA maaaaaaaad aasiaa
Katalenich et al	N= 98; 6 months; 59	Diabetes Remote	MA measured using
2015 (USA) [45]	years (mean reported);	Monitoring and	MMAS 8-item. None of
	Evaluate utility and cost-	Management System	the participants scored
	effectiveness of	(DRMS) in glycemic	in the high medication
	automated DRMS in	control verses usual	adherence category.
	glycemic control, RCT	care. Text messages or	Intervention group had
		phone calls to remind	higher adherence than
		patients to report	control group at each
		results via automated	measurement. Overall
		system. No interaction	improvements in MA
		unless severely high or	self-report were not
		low glucose.	significant.
Kim et al 2006	N=45 (33 completers);	SMS; intervention	Self-reported MA
(South Korea)	12 weeks; 43.5 ±12.6;	consisted of continuous	measured by diabetes
[46]	Investigate effect of	education and	self-care activities
	nurse SMS by cellular	reinforcement of diet,	measure 4-item.
	phone and internet on	exercise, medication	Diabetes medication
	A1C and adherence to	adjustment, and	taking adherence
	diabetes control	frequent self-monitoring	increased 1.1 days per
	recommendations, RCT	of blood glucose levels.	week at post-test
	sub-study	0	compared to pre-test.
Migneault et al	N=337; 8 months; 56.6	2 arm - Automated,	Medication adherence
2012 (USA) [48]	(SD 11.0); Evaluate a	multi-behavior	measured using a 7-item
2012 (00/1) [10]	culturally adapted,	intervention or	version of MMAS.
	automated telephone	education-only control.	Baseline mean MA low
	system to help	cadeation only control.	in both groups and
	hypertension, RCT		although the treatment
	Hypertension, Ref		group's adjusted MMAS
			scores improved by 0.19
			points relative to
			•
			controls, this change
			was not statistically
Nolone et al 2016	N 00-2 15- 50-4	MEans in a Constitution	significant.
Nelson et al 2016	N=80; 3 months; 50.1	MEssaging for Diabetes	Barriers to MA assessed
(USA) [47]	±10.5; Tested efficacy of	(MED) SMS/IVR	using items from the
	SMS text messaging	intervention using	Diabetes Medication
	service and IVR	SuperEgo	Knowledge
	intervention to promote	communication platform	Questionnaire,
	adherence among adults	to deliver and tailor text	Medicines for Diabetes
	with T2D, Quasi-	messages and voice	Questionnaire and
	experimental design		Barriers to Diabetes

		communications to promote MA	Adherence measure and Medication Adherence Self-Efficacy Scale. Medication Adherence
			assessed using the SDSCA-MS. There was
			no difference in
			adherence between the
			intervention group and
			control group at 3
			months. MED had a
			positive, short-term
			impact on adherence.
Nundy et al 2014	N=74; 54.1 ± 9.3 years; 6	CareSmarts was a	Two self-reported
(USA) [49]	months; Investigate the	theoretically guided,	measures: Diabetes Self-
	behavioral effects of a	mobile phone-based	Care Activities (DSCA)
	theory-driven, mobile	intervention for diabetes	measure of weekly
	phone-based	behavior support	adherence and MMAS 4-
	intervention that	delivered through a	item. At both 3 months
	combines automated	web-based software.	(P < .01) and 6 months
	text messaging and	Patients received	(P = .02), MMAS
	remote nursing, using an	educational messages	improved compared to
	automated, interactive	and reminders and	baseline; however, no
	text messaging system,	texted back responses to	change in weekly MA
	Mixed methods	questions.	was observed.
	observational cohort study		
Shane-	N=125; 50.6 (mean); 6	Telemonitoring with	MA measured using an
McWhorter et al	months; Evaluate the	asynchronous	8-item validated
2014 (USA) [22]	use of a telemonitoring	measurements	questionnaire. MA for
	system using IVR to	transmitted from the	diabetes and
	improve diabetes and	patient to a remote care	hypertension improved
	hypertension outcomes,	coordinator pharmacist	but was not significant
	Non-randomized	certified diabetes	( <i>P</i> =0.09 and <i>P</i> =0.054
	prospective	educator.	respectively)
	observational pre-		
	intervention-post-		
	intervention study		
Wakefield et al	N= 304; 6 months; 68.0	Closed surveillance via	MA measured using the
2011 (USA) [26]	(SD 9.8); Evaluate the	home telehealth device	Self-Reported
	efficacy of a nurse-	and nurse care	Medication Taking scale

	managed home	management; 3 arms:	and a validated regimen
	telehealth intervention	high intensity group –	adherence scale. MA
	to improve outcomes in	algorithm programed	improved over time for
	veterans with comorbid	into device; low-	all groups, but there
	diabetes and	intensity group – small	were no differences
	hypertension, RCT	subset of questions with	among the three groups.
		branching algorithm; &	
		usual care.	
Wild et al 2016	N=321; 9 months; 61 (SD	Supported	MA measured using
(UK) [50]	9.8); Investigated	telemonitoring	MMAS; No significant
	whether health	intervention involved	difference identified
	professional review of	self-measurement and	between groups in
	telemetrically	transmission to a secure	adherence to
	transmitted self-	website of twice-weekly	medication.
	monitored glucose	morning and evening	
	results in improved	glucose for review by	
	glycemic control in	family practice	
	people with poorly	clinicians; control group	
	controlled T2D, RCT	received usual care.	